

# UNITED STAT. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/649,243	08/28/00	LUMPKIN		W	AVID.13-1
<del>_</del>			コ		EXAMINER
025871 PM82/1005 SWANSON & BRATSCHUN L.L.C.				SCHWAF	OT7 C
1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 330 HIGHLANDS RA		29		3613 DATE MAILED:	8
					10/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

~

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/649,243

Appheant(s

Lumpkin et al.

Examiner

Schwartz

Art Unit 3613



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM  CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ication.
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action	ction is non-final.
closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ p$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims  4) 💢 Claim(s) 1-21	is/are pending in the application
	is/are pending in the application.  is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	· ·
	is/are rejected.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.  is: a) approved b) disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign in a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur	tive been received.  Inverse been received in Application No  Inverse been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  20) Other:  CHRISTORMAN EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09649243

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 9-12,15,18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 9,11,15,18 it is unclear what is meant by providing a means for providing a "tactile" indication of advancement...

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1,3,9,17,18,20,21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gajek et al..

Application/Control Number: 09649243

Art Unit:

Regarding claim 1 note Gajek et al. discloses disk brake having a caliper for a bicycle including a wear adjustment device 22 which is fixed against axial movement and which is part of a rotary to linear linkage as shown in the drawings.

Regarding claims 3,17,20 these requirements are met.

Regarding claims 9,18 subject to the 112 rejection above, and as broadly claimed these requirements are met.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gajek et al. in view of Prince.

Application/Control Number: 09649243

Art Unit:

Regarding claim 2 Gajek et al. lacks a showing of an indicator showing the amount of wear of the pads.

The reference to Prince is relied upon as only a general teaching to show this well known idea.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have provided such an indicator device on or in the area of the screw 2 of Gajek et al. as is well known in the art to provide an indication of wear.

Regarding claims 4-8 these limitations are considered to be obvious variations in view of the collective teachings of the references above.

Regarding claim 11 these requirements, as broadly claimed, are considered to be met.

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 10,12,19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art of record has been cited for showing related structure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Chris Sohwartz a

telephone number (703) 308-0576.

CHRISTOPHER P. SCHWART